

MEMBRANE HYBRID SYSTEMS IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT

by

Yunju Jo

A Thesis submitted in fulfilment for the degree of

Master of Engineering



School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney (UTS)
New South Wales, Australia

December 2017

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative master degree and fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that I have written the thesis. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidature

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

December 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to everyone for their hard work. I owe my supervisor Professor Saravanamuthu Vigneswaram, Professor of Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology (FEIT) for the patient guidance and mentorship and consideration he provided to me, all the way from the start of the master degree in the Environmental Engineering Department, through to completion of this degree. I am truly fortunate to have had the opportunity to work with him. I wish to express my deepest appreciation to my research colleagues. I appreciate the great help of Dr. Md Abu Hasan Johir who have always been supportive in sharing their time and ideas. I thank Professor Diane McDougald, Professor Scott Rice, Dr. Shuyang Sun and Winny for their great help in DNA study on the biofilm and membrane bioreactor. I would like to extend my appreciation to all my family members and friends, especially my husband; he always believes and supports me.

Writing this master thesis and organizing the data from all the experiments were a big challenge to me. However, my supervisor Professor Saravanamuthu Vigneswaram gave me the constant guidance and encouragement throughout the entire course of the Mater degree; as a result, I completed my master thesis very successfully.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1	Background.....	1-2
1.1.1	Water scarcity	1-2
1.1.2	Wastewater reuse	1-2
1.1.3	Membrane bioreactor (MBR).....	1-3
1.1.4	Membrane fouling.....	1-4
1.1.5	Chemical cleaning with NO	1-4
1.1.6	Activated carbon adsorption	1-5
1.1.7	Post-treatment to MBR effluent.....	1-6
1.2	Objectives of this study.....	1-6
1.3	Structure and major contents of this thesis	1-6
2	Literature review	2-1
2.1	Membrane technologies for water treatment.....	2-2
2.2	Membrane bioreactor	2-3
2.2.1	MBR in wastewater treatment.....	2-5
2.2.2	Wastewater reclamation.....	2-6
2.2.3	Fouling in the MBR process	2-6
2.2.3.1	Organic fouling	2-6
2.2.3.1.1	Effect of EPS on membrane fouling	2-7
2.2.3.1.2	SMP.....	2-7
2.2.3.2	Inorganic fouling.....	2-8
2.2.3.3	Biofouling	2-8

2.2.4	The effect of operating parameters on fouling in MBR	2-9
2.2.4.1	Effect of flux	2-9
2.2.4.2	Effect of pH and temperature	2-9
2.2.4.3	Effect of organic loading rate (OLR) and food to microorganism (F/M) ratio	2-10
2.2.4.4	Effect of HRT and SRT	2-11
2.2.4.5	Effect of MLSS	2-11
2.2.5	Organic micro-pollutants	2-11
2.3	The control strategies of fouling in MBR	2-14
2.3.1	Physicochemical fouling mitigation.....	2-14
2.3.1.1	Physical cleaning.....	2-14
2.3.1.2	Chemical cleaning.....	2-16
2.3.1.3	Use of additives.....	2-19
2.3.2	Hydrodynamic fouling mitigation.....	2-19
2.3.3	Microbiological fouling mitigation.....	2-19
2.4	Biofilter	2-21
2.4.1	Basic principle.....	2-21
2.4.2	Biofilter media	2-22
2.4.3	Empty Bed Contact Time (EBCT).....	2-23
2.5	Post-treatment to MBR effluent.....	2-23
2.5.1	Membrane distillation (MD) principle	2-23
2.5.2	Membrane distillation configuration.....	2-24
3	Experimental materials and methods	3-1
3.1	Background	3-2

3.2	Experimental Materials	3-2
3.2.1	Activated sludge.....	3-2
3.2.2	Wastewaters	3-2
3.2.2.1	Reverse osmosis concentrates (ROCs).....	3-2
3.2.2.2	MBR effluent collected from decentralized treatment plant in Sydney	3-5
3.2.3	Activated carbon	3-7
3.2.3.1	Granular Activated Carbon (GAC)	3-7
3.2.3.2	Powdered activated carbon (PAC)	3-7
3.2.4	Membrane material and characteristics.....	3-8
3.2.5	Chemical cleaning agent of membranes	3-9
3.2.5.1	Nitric oxide (NO)	3-9
3.2.5.2	Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl)	3-10
3.3	Experimental Methods	3-10
3.3.1	Submerged MBR system with and without addition of suspended media.....	3-10
3.3.2	Up-flow adsorption column experiments.....	3-13
3.3.2.1	Batch adsorption studies of GAC.....	3-14
3.3.3	Direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) experiment.....	3-14
3.3.4	Backwashing of MBR	3-15
3.4	Experimental Analysis	3-16
3.4.1	Mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) and mixed liquor volatile suspended solids (MLVSS).....	3-16
3.4.2	Measurement of DOC	3-16
3.4.3	Analysis of trace organics (Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs)) ..	3-19

3.4.4	Nutrients and chemical oxygen demand (COD) analysis	3-20
3.4.5	pH.....	3-20
3.4.6	Trans-membrane pressure (TMP)	3-20
3.4.7	Turbidity	3-20
3.4.8	DNA extraction	3-20
3.4.8.1	Pyrosequencing	3-21
4	Result and Discussion	4-1
4.1	Detailed study on synthetic high strength wastewater	4-2
4.1.1	Background	4-2
4.1.2	Experimental	4-4
4.1.3	Result and Discussion	4-6
4.1.3.1	Effect of Flux	4-6
4.1.3.2	Long term experiments with chemical backwashing	4-8
4.1.3.2.1	TMP	4-8
4.1.3.2.2	Removal of TOC	4-10
4.1.3.2.3	Nutrients and organic matter removal	4-11
4.1.3.2.4	Bacteria DNA of the foulants.....	4-13
4.2	Membrane adsorption hybrid system with high strength wastewater	4-17
4.2.1	Background	4-17
4.2.2	Experimental Details.....	4-18
4.2.3	Result and Discussion	4-19
4.2.3.1	Trans-membrane pressure (TMP) development.....	4-19
4.2.3.2	Detailed organic removal	4-20

4.2.3.3	Nutrients and organic matter removal.....	4-21
4.2.3.4	Post Treatment with membrane distillation	4-23
4.3	MBR-PAC hybrid system in the treatment of real ROC.....	4-23
4.3.1	Background	4-23
4.3.2	Experimental Details.....	4-24
4.3.3	Result and discussion	4-24
4.3.3.1	TOC removal efficiency.....	4-25
4.3.3.2	Effect of PAC in DOC removal	4-25
4.3.3.3	Post-treatment of MD.....	4-27
4.4	Hybrid system as post treatment to effluent from MBR used in domestic wastewater treatment (Low strength wastewater).....	4-27
4.4.1	Background	4-27
4.4.2	Experimental Details.....	4-28
4.4.3	Results and Discussion.....	4-30
4.4.3.1	Batch adsorption-experiments.....	4-30
4.4.3.2	Up-flow GAC adsorption column as biofilter.....	4-31
4.4.3.2.1	Effect of GAC height	4-31
4.4.3.2.2	Effect of filtration velocity	4-33
4.4.3.3	Membrane-adsorption hybrid system.....	4-35
4.4.3.3.1	Trans-membrane pressure (TMP) development.....	4-35
4.4.3.3.2	Removal of trace organics – Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) 4-36	
4.4.3.3.3	Organic, ammonia and nitrate removal	4-37

4.4.3.3.4	MLSS and MLVSS	4-40
4.4.3.3.5	Post-treatment of MD performance	4-41
5	Conclusions and recommendations.....	5-1
5.1	Summary of the major findings of this study.....	5-2
5.2	Recommendations for future studies.....	5-4
Reference	R-1

List of figures

Figure 1.1 Map showing water scarcity (The World Resource Institute 2013)	1-2
Figure 1.2 Nitric Oxide (NO).....	1-5
Figure 2.1 Configurations of the conventional MBR process: (a) side-stream, or external, and (b) submerged (Marrot et al., 2004).....	2-5
Figure 2.2 Typical conventional wastewater treatment process diagram (Judd, 2008)	2-5
Figure 2.3 Different configuration of membrane distillation process.	2-25
Figure 3.1 Flow diagram of decentralized plant located in Sydney, Australia	3-6
Figure 3.2 Schematic diagram of membrane bioreactor (MBR).....	3-11
Figure 3.3 MBR with the addition of GAC/PAC.....	3-12
Figure 3.4 Schematic diagram of up-flow adsorption column with GAC	3-14
Figure 3.5 Schematic diagram of DCMD	3-15
Figure 3.6 The flow of TOC principle for operation.....	3-17
Figure 3.7 Flow scheme of the liquid chromatographic SEC-OCD system	3-19
Figure 4.1 MLSS and MLVSS profile with time during acclimation period.....	4-5
Figure 4.2 Temporal variation of TMP at different fluxes (membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 10, 20 and 23 L/m ² h).....	4-8
Figure 4.3 TMP development profile in MBR before and after chemical backwashing with NaOCl and NO (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 15 L/m ² h).....	4-9
Figure 4.4 TMP development profile in MBR (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h).....	4-9
Figure 4.5 TOC removal in MBR before and after chemical backwashing (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 15 L/m ² h)	4-10
Figure 4.6 TOC removal in MBR before and after backwashing (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h.....	4-11
Figure 4.7 The relative bacterial community abundance on genus level (A) Biofilm before NO backwashing; (B) Activated sludge; (C) Biofilm after NO backwashing; and (D) Feedwater.....	4-14

Figure 4.8 TMP profile of the MBR-GAC hybrid system (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-19
Figure 4.9 DOC removal during the 120 days of operation (Flux: 20L/m ² h)	4-21
Figure 4.10 Removal of COD and nutrients by MBR-GAC hybrid system (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-22
Figure 4.11 Concentration of MLSS and MLVSS with time (Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-22
Figure 4.12 MD performance.....	4-23
Figure 4.13 Profile of TOC removal before and after PAC addition in MBR (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-25
Figure 4.14 Effect of post-treatment on permeate flux of MD (feed temperature: 60°C, permeate temperature: 20°C, permeate flow rate: 0.5 L/min, initial feed volume: 2 L)	4-27
Figure 4.15 The performance of GAC on the removal of TOC (Feed: MBR effluent)	4-30
Figure 4.16 Removal of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) by GAC filter (Bed: 40cm, Filtration velocity: 1.79 and 3.58 m/h)	4-34
Figure 4.17 The comparison of PPCP compounds of MBR, MAHS effluent and biofilter effluent	4-37
Figure 4.18 Removal of COD with biofilters and MAHS (Bed: 10 and 40 cm, Filtration velocity: 1.79 and 3.58m/h, Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-38
Figure 4.19 Removal of TOC with biofilters and MAHS (Bed: 10 and 40 cm, Filtration velocity: 1.79 and 3.58 m/h, Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h) ...	4-39
Figure 4.20 Removal of ammonia by biofilters and membrane adsorption hybrid system as post-treatment (MAHS) (Bed: 10 and 40 cm, Filtration velocity: 1.79 and 3.58m/h, Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-39
Figure 4.21 Removal of Nitrate by MAHS (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-40
Figure 4.22 MLSS and MLVSS in MAHS (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h)	4-41

Figure 4.23 The effect of GAC on the development TMP in MAHS (Membrane area: 0.01m², Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m²h)4-41

Figure 4.24 Effect of post-treatment of differently treated effluents (feed temperature: 60°C, permeate temperature: 20°C, permeate flow rate: 0.5 L/min, initial feed volume: 2 L)4-42

List of tables

Table 2.1 Membrane type.....	2-3
Table 2.2 Occurrence of pharmaceuticals in Australia (Pal et al. 2010).....	2-13
Table 2.3 Characteristics of chemical cleaning reagents.....	2-17
Table 3.1 Characteristics of synthetic ROC.	3-3
Table 3.2 Characteristics of real ROC.....	3-4
Table 3.3 Detailed organic fraction of synthetic ROC and real ROC used in this study	3-5
Table 3.4 Detail organic fraction of MBR effluent collected from decentralized plant.....	3-6
Table 3.5 The concentration of selected micro pollutant in MBR effluent collected from decentralized plant	3-6
Table 3.6 Physical characteristics of GAC used in this study	3-7
Table 3.7 Characteristics of PAC	3-8
Table 3.8 Hollow membrane characteristics	3-8
Table 3.9 The detailed characteristics of NO donor.....	3-9
Table 3.10 Characteristics of sodium hypochlorite as disinfectant.....	3-10
Table 3.11 Operating Conditions of Laboratory Scale SMBR with and without GAC and PAC in suspension	3-12
Table 4.1 The removal of NH ₄ -N, NO ₃ -N, PO ₄ -P, COD and DOC by MBR (15 L/m ² h, cleaning by NaOCl and NO, Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h)	4-12
Table 4.2 The removal of of NH ₄ -N, NO ₃ -N, PO ₄ -P and DOC by MBR (20 L/m ² h, cleaning by NaOCl and NO, Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h).....	4-12
Table 4.3 The relative bacterial community abundances of Proteobacteria in the MBR	4-14
Table 4.4 Detailed organic fraction of influent and effluent.....	4-21
Table 4.5 Removal of a different fraction of organic matter by MBR and MBR-PAC hybrid system (Membrane area: 0.01m ² , Volume of the reactor: 10L, HRT: 1.04 h, Flux: 20 L/m ² h).....	4-26
Table 4.6 Organic fraction by biofilter with a GAC height 10 cm with the velocity of 1.79m/h	4-32

Table 4.7 Organic fraction by biofilter with a GAC height 40 cm with the velocity of 1.79m/h4-32

Table 4.8 Fractionation of organic present in the effluent of GAC filter (Bed: 40 cm; filtration velocity:
3.58 m/h)4-34

Abstract

The production of fresh water and the disposal of wastewater are the major challenges for the last few decades. Reverse osmosis (RO) membrane plants are extensively used for industrial water purification. The large quantities of concentrate generated by RO plant have a disposal issue especially when the plant is located inland. Due to high disposal costs, there is need to reuse. RO reject concentrate is being increasingly processed to recover additional potable water. To achieve higher recoveries alternate processes are used.

Reverse osmosis concentrate (ROC) produced from water reclamation plant can threaten the environment, if it is not appropriately treated before discharge. Membrane bioreactor (MBR) is an attractive technology. Membrane bioreactor is an efficient, cost-effective and reliable treatment system to produce high-quality water from wastewater. This study examined the use of MBR with and without activated carbon such as granular activated carbon (GAC) or powdered activated carbon (PAC) for further treating wastewater and removal of organic micro-pollutants.

Fouling is an important and inevitable phenomenon in MBR. Lower membrane fouling implies more production of water, less cleaning of the membrane, long-term operation and longer membrane life, therefore, reducing operational and capital costs. Fouling can not fully reversed in MBR by physical cleaning alone. However, the combination of physical cleaning and chemical cleaning could almost fully restore the activity of the membrane. Thus, in this study, chemical cleaning in MBR was studied and the performance was compared with that of membrane adsorption hybrid system (MAHS). Synthetic wastewater was used in this study.

The composition of this wastewater was similar to reverse osmosis concentrate (ROC) from a wastewater reclamation plant. The influence of filtration flux and chemical cleaning was studied. The cleaning chemicals such as sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and nitric oxide (NO) was experimentally evaluated. Low concentration nitric oxide (NO) reduced the growth of biofilms in an MBR system. NO treatment could delay the formation of new biofilm on the membrane. NO also had the superiority over

the traditionally used sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for backwashing as the later may have an adverse effect on the activated sludge and environment. NO treatment resulted in the reduction of the relative abundances of bacterial communities while might be encouraged in other bacterial communities.

- ***Submerged membrane adsorption hybrid system with granular activated carbon (GAC)***

In this study, the effect of GAC on fouling reduction was studied in submerged MBR. The addition of GAC into the MBR system deferred TMP development. This is because of the mechanical scouring effect by GAC as well as by the pre-adsorption of organics before reaching the membrane surface. Also, it was observed that the addition of GAC in MBR system can effective for removing DOC by 94% throughout the experiment period for 120 days. These results further showed that the addition of GAC helped to reduce organic fouling.

- ***Membrane adsorption Bioreactor hybrid system***

The operation of MBR resulted in the sudden rise of trans-membrane pressure (TMP). The sudden development of TMP was minimized by introducing activated carbon such as granular activated carbon (GAC) and powdered activated carbon (PAC) in MBR as a suspended medium. The incorporation of GAC and PAC prevented sudden TMP increase and also helped to remove an additional amount of dissolved organic matter.

- ***Submerged membrane adsorption hybrid system with powered activated carbon (PAC)***

A detailed study was made with the real reverse osmosis concentrate (ROC) from a wastewater reclamation plant. Biologically activated carbon uptakes the soluble organics, therefore MBR-PAC hybrid system showed the superior removal of organic matter in this study. After the addition of PAC into the reactor, total organic carbon (TOC) removal increased sharply and went up to 91 %. The recovery of TOC removal was due to the adsorption of organic on PAC initially and then by bioadsorption. The addition of PAC was mostly responsible for the improved filtration performance in the MBR system by modifying the sludge characteristics and the cake layer on the membrane surface. Thus, the addition of PAC in the MBR led to a superior organic removal by a combination of bio flocculation and adsorption phenomena.

- ***Biofilter***

Many wastewater treatment plants use MBR as their biological treatment step. MBR cannot remove persisting organic pollutants. Thus, in this study, the performance of granular activated carbon (GAC) biofilter and membrane adsorption hybrid system (MAHS) was evaluated for its ability to reduce effluent organic matter from MBR effluent collected from a decentralized MBR plant treating domestic wastewater. The up-flow biofilter column was packed with GAC to a height of 10 cm and 40 cm. The column was operated at a low velocity of 1.79 and 3.58 m/h and resulted in a high removal of dissolved organic carbon (DOC).

The MBR effluent consisted of eleven pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). After a post-treatment of MF membrane-GAC adsorption hybrid system (MAHS), most of the micro pollutants were removed except for three compounds with very low concentrations. However, bisphenol A was found at the concentration of 316 ng/L at the end of the experiment.